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#### Abstract

This paper presented a method of recognizing objects' positions using a vision sensor to identify the grasping point of the arm robot. The arm robot used an embedded color vision Pixy CMUcam5 camera (Pixy camera) to capture and process the colored object image. Pixy camera is low in cost and easily programmed with high-speed FPS in real-time data processing. However, it still has the fisheye effect problem when recognizing the object's position. Thus, the pixel position regression algorithm was chosen to transform the colored objects' positions in the real coordinates. This method was implemented in the arm robot for grasping, picking, and repositioning tasks. The transformation results were employed to calculate the kinematics of the arm robot's joints. The experimental results showed that the Pixy camera could identify objects in the real world and transform the objects' positions. The error value at the real-world position was no more than 1.67 cm , and the experiment showed that the success rate of doing the task was up to $80 \%$.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Advanced robot technologies have been widely used in various fields to assist people in carrying goods and doing repetitive tasks such as picking and placing goods that require a safe and high accuracy level [1]. Arm-robot is commonly used since it resembles the human's arm. Recently, various robotic arm applications have been developed, such as the arm robot for the sorting system [2] and the warehouse automation system [3] to pick and place various goods on the shelves. Accurate object position estimation is crucial to pick an object successfully [4].

Object repositioning is a primary task that the arm robot should complete. It is used in many applications, such as warehouse automation systems, to grasp and pick up objects and put them in the bin [5]. Thus, the arm robot should be capable of identifying the targeted object, including the type, position, and size, and identifying other objects, such as bins, shelves, and other features that affect its movements [3]. Recently, a camera sensor has been widely used as vision-based object recognition [6, providing a powerful tool to capture image data. Therefore, the arm robot combined with a vision sensor can detect the object precisely and recognize its position.

Several approaches have discussed the method to solve the problem of detecting the object's position based on vision data [6, 7]. The method uses a learning process combined with an artificial intelligence system [1], which is widely used in classification systems [8, 9]. The learning algorithm for vision-based detection could extract useful information to determine its movements. However, the learning process computation is challenging because it requires complex algorithms and high-quality hardware. Implementing the embedded color vision can drastically reduce the time and cost instead of computing the image processing. The embedded color vision, such as the Pixy CMUcam5 camera (Pixy camera), is low in cost and easily programmed with a high-speed Frame per Second (FPS) in real-time data processing [11]. Pixy camera is also flexible and can be connected directly to a microcontroller-based system, such as Arduino and Raspberry Pi [12]. Furthermore, the Pixy camera can track the robot's movements using a color combination (CC) and pixel position [13].

This research aimed to solve the problem of grasping and positioning objects using an arm robot to determine the robot movements combined with the inverse kinematic method [13,14,15]. Regardless of the advantage, the Pixy camera still has the fisheye effect problem
when used to recognized the object's position. Thus, the pixel position regression method was applied to transform the pixel coordinate into the real-world position. Therefore, this paper studied this method's effectiveness in implementing the Pixy camera.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 System Design

Figure 1(a) shows the arm robot system's experimental environment. The area is $600 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$, with the camera sensor installed 40 cm above the field. The camera is faced down to capture the reached area by the arm robot, as shown in Figure 1 (a). The system is divided into three parts, as shown in Figure 1(b); vision sensor (Pixy camera), main controller (Arduino) and arm robot actuator. The working space of the arm robot is presented in Figure 1(c). The arm robot worked in a 180 degrees range with a cubed object of $30 \times 30 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure 1: (a) Arm Robot System Design, (b) System Diagram, (c) Working Space Arm Robot, and (d) Illustration of Object Position Detection Robot
The camera was calibrated using a software interface provided by the Pixy camera module and trained with four colored objects: red, green, blue, and yellow. When the camera detected the objects, it generated information about the object's color id, pixel position, and size (width and height). Then, the camera transmitted the data to the Arduino microcontroller through SPI communication.

The unique point of this proposed method is that the object position information is determined according to real-world coordinates. Since the Pixy camera has a fisheye effect problem, the algorithm of pixel position regression was chosen to transform the colored objects' position in real-world coordinates. The objective was for the arm robot to determine the movements of the end-effector to grasp the objects and relocate them to new positions.

### 2.2 Embedded Color Vision

The Pixy camera utilizes a filtering algorithm to determine the objects based on the color and saturation data [11]. The Pixy camera was chosen since it is low in budget and can recognize colored objects fast. The captured image has a resolution of up to $300 \times 200$ and up to 50 fps frame rate [10]. The camera can record and memorize seven colors represented as the object's ID. Pixy camera provided data in the center position ( $x, y$ ) and the detected object size in the covered area. The center position was useful to determine the angle values of the arm robot's joints. Regarding these specifications, the object positions could be interpreted and submitted easily to the external microcontroller ATMega328-based, i.e., Arduino Uno.

### 2.3 Arm Robot Design

The arm robot was developed using 3-DOF, which consists of the base, shoulder, and elbow. A gripper was installed in the end-effector of the arm robot for picking up the objects, while the wrist joint was used to turn the end-effector. The arm robot could move in the 3D working area ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ coordinates). The base joint could turn horizontally at 180 degrees so that the robot could turn left or right ( x -axis). The shoulder and elbow joints were used to turn vertically, so it could go up or down (z-axis) and move forward or backward (y-axis). The actuators of the base, shoulder, and elbow joints used the Robostar SRS-3216HTG servo, while the wrist used the Mg 90 micro servo.

The maximum for the gripper to open was up to 45 mm so that it could grasp the object of $40 \times 40 \times 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ dimensions. The robot consisted of two main links actuated by the shoulder and elbow joints. The shoulder link dimension was 148 mm , and the elbow link was 160 mm . The arm working range was $300 \mathrm{~mm}-80 \mathrm{~mm}$, and the robot could rotate up to 180 degrees. The working space of the arm robot is shown in Figure 1 (c).

### 2.4 Pixel Position Regression

The object's ID and position data were critical information for the arm robot to grasp and reposition the objects. The object's ID was obtained through the sampling and training process in the pixy camera module, and the real-world position was predicted by transforming the pixel position information. Then, the object's ID and pixel position data provided by the Pixy camera module were transmitted to the ArduinoUno microcontroller through SPI communication.

Pixy camera provided information about the objects' position represented by the pixel position ( $P_{p}$ ) marked by $X_{p}$ and $Y_{p}$. The maximum of $X_{p}$ was equal to the horizontal resolution of the Pixy image, so the range of $X_{p}$ was $0-320$. Furthermore, the maximum of $Y_{p}$ was equal to the image's vertical resolution, which meant the Y p range was 0-200.

The pixel position regression method was implemented to transform the pixel position $\left(P_{p}\right)$ information to the real-world position $\left(P_{r}\right)$. This method used pixel position $\left(P_{p}\right)$ as the independent variable and realworld position $\left(P_{r}\right)$ as the dependent variable. This research used pixel position regression to fit the straight line through the data that predicted $P_{r}$ (real position) based on $P_{p}$ (pixel position). This model is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}=a+b P_{p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The regression parameters are the intercept of the positions, and $b$ is the gradient [17]. The parameters of $a$ and $b$ can be calculated using the equation as follow to obtain the equation that describes the relationship between $P_{p}$ and $P_{r}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
b=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{r_{i}} P_{p_{i}}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{r_{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{p_{i}}\right)}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{r_{i}}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{r_{i}}\right)^{2}}  \tag{2}\\
a=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{p_{i}}}{n}-b \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{r_{i}}}{n} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The position is represented in 2D information, i.e., x and y coordinates.

In the linear regression model, $P_{r}$ is the dependent variable representing the real position labelled as $\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}\right)$. At the same time, $P_{p}$ is the independent variable representing the pixel position marked as $\left(X_{p}, Y_{p}\right)$. Therefore, the pixel position regression of both variables is described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{r}=a_{1}+b_{1} X_{p} \text { and } Y_{r}=a_{2}+b_{2} Y_{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.6 Robot Kinematics

The real-world coordinate ( $X r, Y r$ ) of the detected object represented the arm robot's grasping point, that is, the target position of the arm robot's end-effector. The wrist determined the orientation of the endeffector. As described before, the arm robot was developed using 3 DOFs, i.e., base, shoulder, and elbow joints, which determined the 3D position ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ ) as shown in Figure 2. The input parameters of inverse kinematics are the real positions of the target can be expressed as $x=X r$, $y=Y r$, and $z$ is the height of the end-effector to the ground. When the objects were placed on the ground, their height positions were the same. Therefore, the height of $z$ was initialized as $z=0$. Finally, the grasping point P was defined as $\mathrm{P}\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}, 0\right)$.

Inverse kinematics [14] was used to determine the angle of each joint. The target position (end-effector) must be known. The main 3 DOFs: base, shoulder, and elbow, were marked as $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$, respectively. The base was a joint used to move the arm robot body to turn left or right, the shoulder joint set the stretch movement of the arm, and the elbow worked on the up and down movement of the arm. Based on Figure 2, the end-effector $\mathrm{P}\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}, Z_{r}\right)$ was transformed into joints' angle, i.e., the $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$, which can be calculated using the equations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Y_{r}}{X_{r}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{Z_{r}}{A D}\right)+\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{1}^{2}+A C^{2}-l_{2}^{2}}{2 l_{1} A C}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\theta_{3}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{l_{1}^{2}+l_{2}^{2}-A C^{2}}{2 l_{1} l_{2}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 2: Three-DOF Arm Robot

### 3.0 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The experiment observed the Pixy camera's performance in detecting the object. There were two experiment steps. The first step was to see the performance in recognizing object position using the pixel position regression method to estimate the objects' real-world position. The real position was identified and included in the kinematics equation to decide the angle of each DOF. The second step was to analyze the performance of the arm robot when grasping, picking up, and repositioning the object based on the image data of the Pixy camera.

### 3.1 Object Recognition Position

In this experiment, the method performance was observed to interpret the real-world positions based on the Pixy camera information. A single coloured object was placed in the detection area, divided into several sampling points. The sampling data was obtained from the represented $x$-axis and y-axis, divided every 5 cm from the centre point of the area $(0,15) \mathrm{cm}$. The sampling point is presented in Figure 3. The closer the sampling data, the more accurate data to be obtained. The number of sampling points, defined as $n$, represents the data used in the linear regression. Here, the number of sampling points on the $x-$ axis was 11, while the y-axis was 7 . For each sampling point, the $X_{p}$ and $Y_{p}$ pixel data were taken 20 times, and the average values $X_{p}$ in each point and $Y_{p}$ in each point were generated to be used in the regression.


Figure 3: (a) Sampling Point of $X$ position, (b) Sampling Point of $Y$ Position
The results of pixel position identification are shown in Table 1 and 2. Table 1 presents the result of pixel $x\left(X_{p}\right)$, and Table 2 presents the result of pixel y $\left(Y_{p}\right)$ detection. The pixel position of $X_{p}$ and $Y_{p}$ is the average of 20 data taken for each sampling point. The pixel position is used as the independent variable of the linear regression. The regression parameters of $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ were calculated using Equation 3. It generates the formula as shown follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{r}=26.67-0.167 X_{p} \text { and } Y_{r}=-3.57+0.17 Y_{p} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 1: Average of x -axis position in real-world (Xr)

| No | Sampling Point of x | $X_{p}$ | $X_{r}$ | e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -25 | 300 | -23.43 | 1.57 |
| 2 | -20 | 281 | -20.26 | 0.26 |
| 3 | -15 | 254 | -15.75 | 0.75 |
| 4 | -10 | 225 | -10.91 | 0.91 |
| 5 | -5 | 192 | -5.39 | 0.39 |
| 6 | 0 | 158 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| 7 | 5 | 127 | 5.46 | 0.46 |
| 8 | 10 | 95 | 10.81 | 0.81 |
| 9 | 15 | 63 | 16.15 | 1.15 |
| 10 | 20 | 37 | 20.49 | 0.49 |
| 11 | 25 | 20 | 23.33 | 1.67 |
| Average |  |  |  |  |

The charts in Figure 4(a) and 4(b) show that the pixel regression has successfully interpreted the real object position based on the pixel position information as indicated by the average error value of $X_{r}$ and $Y_{r}$, which are 0.79 and 0.35 , respectively, and the highest error value is less than 2 cm . Based on Figure 4(c) and 4(d), the $X_{r}$ and $Y_{r}$ error values get higher when the object is far from the center point as it is affected by the lens of the Pixy camera, which is slightly convex. The results were compared with the research of [11], which discussed a similar topic and found that the average accuracy was between 1.42 cm to 5.93 cm . Hence, the average error in this study generated a better value
because the error range was $0.04 \mathrm{~cm}-1.67 \mathrm{~cm}$. However, it should be considered that this experiment was conducted on a smaller area size ( $30 \mathrm{~cm} \times 60 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) compared to $140 \mathrm{~cm} \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}$ in research [11].

Table 2. Average of y -axis position in real-world $\left(Y_{r}\right)$

| No | Sampling Point of y | Yp | Yr | e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30 | 191 | 28.9 | 1.10 |
| 2 | 25 | 169 | 25.16 | 0.16 |
| 3 | 20 | 140 | 20.23 | 0.23 |
| 4 | 15 | 109 | 14.96 | 0.04 |
| 5 | 10 | 79 | 9.86 | 0.14 |
| 6 | 5 | 48 | 4.59 | 0.41 |
| Average |  |  |  | 0.35 |



Figure 4. Experimental Results, (a) x-axis regression, (b) y-axis regression (c) Error rates of $x$, and (d) Error rates of $y$

### 3.2 Object Picking Using Arm Robot

Then, this research observed the performance of pixel position regression in the arm robot. The real object position ( $X_{r}, Y_{r}$ ) was used as the input information of inverse kinematics, which arranged the movements of the arm robot by calculating the angle of each arm robot's joint. The $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, and $\theta_{3}$ were the angles generated from the
position information $P\left(X_{r}, Y_{r}, Z_{r}\right)$. As described before, to perform the grasping and positioning task, the value of Zr was set to 0 .

During the experiment, the object was placed randomly on the left side of the area. The Pixy camera detects the position by identifying the object's color ID and pixel positions. The real-world position $X_{r}$ and $Y_{r}$ were calculated using a linear regression formula. Then, the arm robot performed the task of grasping, picking, and repositioning the object into a specific area according to the object's ID.

Table 3: Angle Joint Calculation

| No | x | y | z | $\theta_{1}$ | $\theta_{2}$ | $\theta_{3}$ | Results | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -21 | 2 | 0 | -84.56 | 49.20 | 86.97 | Success | $100 \%$ |
| 2 | -22 | 7 | 0 | -88.18 | 46.62 | 91.14 | Success | $100 \%$ |
| 3 | -23 | 6 | 0 | -88.44 | 46.62 | 91.13 | Failed | $0 \%$ |
| 4 | -15 | 0 | 0 | -90.00 | 64.94 | 58.13 | Success | $100 \%$ |
| 5 | -12 | 18 | 0 | -33.69 | 47.69 | 89.15 | Success | $100 \%$ |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 5: Example of robot motion to detect, grasp, and reposition an object
Table 3 shows the performance of the inverse kinematic in determining the angle of arm robot joints and the results of the object-picking task performed by the arm robot. Implementing the algorithm of pixel position regression showed that the picking task was done successfully in four out of five trials, which meant that the arm robot's success rate was $80 \%$. Even though the Pixy camera has a fisheye effect, the algorithm implementation performed well compared to another method of a more complex algorithm, which needs a huge computational [18]. Figure 5 shows the arm robot's movements that successfully pick and move the object from the grasping point to the new position in real time. These results confirmed that the pixel position regression could be implemented in the arm robot to perform several tasks, i.e., grasping, picking, or repositioning the objects.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The pixel position regression method was applied to estimate the objects' real-world position based on the Pixy camera information. The
method was tested on the arm robot to perform grasping, picking, and repositioning of the objects with a success rate of $80 \%$. Furthermore, the error value from implementing this method ranged between 0.04 cm to 1.67 cm . Thus, it can be concluded that the pixel position regression method by using the Pixy camera was effective in being implemented in the arm robot to grasp, pick, and reposition the objects.
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